

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/741,860	12/22/2000 .	David Carlton Moore	088305/0129	8558	
William T. Ellis FOLEY & LARDNER Washington Harbour 3000 K Street, N. W., Suite 500			EXAMINER		
			ZHEN, LI B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, D		2194			
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A II Ai Ai -				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	٠.		
Office Action Summary		09/741,860	MOORE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAIL INC. DATE of the	Li B. Zhen	2194			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Disions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comments BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	March 2007.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-24 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 19 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,6-12,14-18,20,21,23 and 24 is/are Claim(s) 13 and 22 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ewn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
'=	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	. 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	•	` '		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignt All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National St	tage		
A44.c.=b=====	Wa)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 2194

DÉTAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-4 and 6-24 are pending in the application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/13/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 19 is allowed.
- 5. Claims 13 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/741,860 Page 3

Art Unit: 2194

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-18, 20, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Designing a flexible services-based architecture for Internet Applications" [hereinafter Mehra, cited in the previous office action] in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,601,233 to Underwood.
- 9. As to claim 1, Mehra teaches the invention substantially as claimed including an interface for interfacing between front-end data processing systems and back-end data processing systems [p. 31, figure in section 3, Client Layer and Database/Existing Applications], the interface comprising an engine [p. 31, figure in section 3, Context Processor], a node layer comprising at least one node [p. 31, figure in section 3, Business Logic Layer], and a utility layer comprising at least one utility [p. 31, figure in section 3, Interface], and in which:

the engine configured to receive a message containing a request from a frontend system for a transaction to be performed by a back-end system [p. 32, section 3.2.1],

each node represents business logic interfaces to a back-end system [p. 32, section 3.3.1],

each node exposes business logic capabilities to the engine [p. 32, the interface of the Business Controller Object, section 3.3.2];

each utility is coupled as a proxy to a back-end system, and is configured to for receiving a transaction request from a node, for converting said request to a back-end system request, for receiving a response from the back-end system, and for routing a response to the requesting node [p. 32, sections 3.4.1 and 3.4.2],

each node routes a received response to the engine [p. 32, section 3.2.1 "The business logic layer...returns an XML output data stream"]; and

the engine routes a response to the requesting front-end system [p. 32, section 3.2.1 "The style sheet rendering engine merges the XML data stream with the appropriate XSL template file to render HTML output to the browser."].

Although, Mehra teaches the invention substantially, Mehra does not specifically disclose the engines comprising means for interpreting the message to select a relevant node from a plurality of nodes for interfacing, wherein the engine does not contain any business logic, the message not containing an operation identifier of the transaction to be performed and the message specifying a list of parameters the node uses to perform operations based on the received request messages.

However, Underwood teaches interfacing between front-end data processing systems [col. 128, lines 47 – 57] and back-end data processing systems [col. 106, lines 1 – 16], an engine [application's web page logic 832 starts the activity 834, executes the sub-activity and creates the user interfaces; col. 29, lines 10 – 35] configured to receive a message containing a request from a front-end system for a transaction to be performed by a back-end system [MTS 600 intercepts the Customer creation request 602; col. 26, lines 18 – 43], and means for interpreting said message to select a relevant node from a plurality of nodes for interfacing [activity has the following responsibilities: Provide a "logical unit of work" context to all sub-activities within the activity. The Activity framework uses Microsoft Transaction Server (MTS) transactions to implement the "logical unit of work" concept; col. 29, line 43 - col. 30, line 19] the message not containing an operation identifier of the transaction to be performed Itransparently make requests of and receive responses from other objects located locally or remotely; col. 117, lines 37 – 50] wherein the engine does not contain any business logic [No business logic is contained directly in the web page code; col. 29, lines 11 - 35];

the engine comprises means for using the exposed node business logic capabilities [col. 54, lines 1-17] to automatically build a process map [activity maintains a context and provides a "logical unit of work" for a specific business functionality; col. 30, lines 1-20] linking received request messages with nodes, wherein the engine uses the process map to select the relevant node from the plurality of nodes [sub-activity 838 executes a sub-part of the overall activity business logic; col.

30, lines 1-20], the process map comprising script messages each message of the process map specifies an associated node [view 840 defines the mapping between a user interface and business components containing the values to display; col. 30, lines 20-46], a list of the parameters the node requires and values which it returns for a type of incoming message [view has the following responsibilities: Unplugging the user interface from the business component values. Automatically and transparent to the developer, capture all the values entered by the user and update the related business components; col. 30, lines 20-46]; and

each utility is coupled as a proxy to a back-end system, and is configured to for receiving a transaction request from a node, for converting said request to a back-end system request, for receiving a response from the back-end system, and for routing a response to the requesting node [DCOM Component connector uses COM proxy components that map to SAP Business Objects; col. 20, lines 12 – 67].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Mehra to incorporate the features of interpreting the message to select a relevant node from a plurality of nodes for interfacing, wherein the engine does not contain any business logic, the message not containing an operation identifier of the transaction to be performed and the message specifying a list of parameters the node uses to perform operations based on the received request messages because this provides the benefits of a component-based system, which includes the ability to separate the component interfaces from their

Art Unit: 2194

implementation and help enormously with access to both third party components and legacy applications [col. 96, lines 36 – 67 of Underwood].

10. As to claim 20, Mehra as modified teaches a method of interfacing between frontend data processing systems and back-end data processing systems [p. 31, figure in
section 3, Client Layer and Database/Existing Applications of Mehra], the method being
performed by an interface comprising an engine [p. 31, figure in section 3, Context
Processor of Mehra] for communicating with the front-end systems and a utility layer for
communicating with the back-end systems, the method comprising the steps of:

the engine receiving from a front-end system a message incorporating a request for a transaction to be performed by a back end system but not indicating a particular back-end system suitable for the transaction [p. 32, section 3.2.1 of Mehra], wherein the message does not include an operation identifier identifying the transaction to be performed [transparently make requests of and receive responses from other objects located locally or remotely; col. 117, lines 37 – 50 of Underwood],

the engine using a process map [activity maintains a context and provides a "logical unit of work" for a specific business functionality; col. 30, lines 1 – 20 of Underwood] to select one of a plurality of nodes in a node layer which may provide a suitable link to the back-end systems for the request [sub-activity 838 executes a sub-part of the overall activity business logic; col. 30, lines 1 – 20 of Underwood], the process map being automatically built [activity maintains a context and provides a "logical unit of work" for a specific business functionality; col. 30, lines 1 – 20 of Underwood] to link message types to nodes according to exposed business logic

capabilities of the nodes [col. 30, lines 1 – 20 of Underwood] and comprising script messages [col. 30, lines 20 – 46 of Underwood], each message specifying an associated node [col. 30, lines 20 – 46 of Underwood], a list of the parameters the node uses to perform operations based on the received message [col. 30, lines 20 – 46 of Underwood], and values which it returns for a type of incoming message [col. 30, lines 20 – 46 of Underwood], wherein the engine does not contain any business logic [No business logic is contained directly in the web page code; col. 29, lines 11 – 35 of Underwood], the engine passing a request to the selected node [p. 32, the interface of the Business Controller Object, section 3.3.2 of Mehra], the selected node communicating with a utility with which it is associated to instruct the utility to perform the transaction, receiving a response from the utility, and passing the response back to the node [p. 32, sections 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 of Mehra], and

the node passing the response back to the engine [p. 32, section 3.2.1 "The business logic layer... returns an XML output data stream" of Mehra], and the engine passing the response back to the requesting front-end system [p. 32, section 3.2.1 "The style sheet rendering engine merges the XML data stream with the appropriate XSL template file to render HTML output to the browser" of Mehra].

11. As to claim 24, this is a product claim that corresponds to method claim 20; note the rejection to claim 20 above, which also meets this product claim.

Art Unit: 2194

12. As to claim 2, Mehra as modified teaches the engine comprises means for dynamically maintains the process map according to the exposed node business logic capabilities [col. 28, lines 26 – 55 of Underwood].

Page 9

- 13. As to claim 3, Mehra teaches the process map comprises a script file [XML is used for structured data message; Section 2, p. 31].
- 14. As to claim 4, Mehra as modified teaches each message having a map associating incoming parameter names with standardised names [Call the SAP connector standard method; col. 21, lines 25 61 of Underwood].
- 15. As to claim 6, Mehra teaches that the utilities interface with the node layer according to a uniform interface model ["...that provide an abstraction layer that encapsulates access..."; section 3.3.2, p. 32].
- 16. As to claim 7, Mehra as modified teaches the engine calls a plurality of nodes for a transaction request [sub-activity 838 executes a sub-part of the overall activity business logic; col. 30, lines 1 20 of Underwood].
- 17. As to claim 8, Mehra as modified teaches the engine is configured for calling nodes in sequence, and for passing the output from a previous node to a next node [col. 28, lines 25 54 of Underwood].

Art Unit: 2194

18. As to claim 9, Mehra as modified teaches the engine and each node uses a hashtable mapping keys to values for passing data and control to each other [col. 54, lines 1 – 18 of Underwood].

Page 10

- 19. As to claims 10 and 11, Mehra as modified teaches the engine and the nodes each use a hashtable for returning a result from a back-end system [col. 19, lines 20 41 of Underwood].
- 20. As to claim 12, Mehra teaches each of the engine and each node comprise an object instantiated from an object-oriented class [section 3.3.2, p. 32].
- 21. As to claim 14, Mehra as modified teaches the engine is configured for activating a sequence of nodes for a transaction [sub-activity 838 executes a sub-part of the overall activity business logic; col. 30, lines 1 20 of Underwood], and each node is configured for performing a rollback if a transaction fails [col. 124, lines 45 53 of Underwood].
- 22. As to claim 15, Mehra as modified teaches the engine comprises an externally visible engine class ["Servlet"; section 3.2.2 "Servlet" of Mehra], an object of which comprises means for instantiating: a processor object for instantiating said node objects ["Business Object Factory"; section 3.3.1, p. 31 of Mehra]; and a loader object for loading the process map, and for determining node objects associated with a received message [col. 27, lines 11 18 of Underwood].

Application/Control Number: 09/741,860 Page 11

Art Unit: 2194

23. As to claim 16, Mehra as modified teaches the engine is configured for instantiating a parser object for parsing a received message [col. 98, lines 40 - 52 of Underwood], for placing extracted data in a hashtable, and for returning the hashtable to the engine object [col. 19, lines 20 - 41 of Underwood].

- 24. As to claim 17, Mehra as modified teaches the engine comprises a builder object configured for automatically updating the process map according to capabilities exposed by node classes [col. 20, lines 42 55 of Underwood].
- 25. As to claim 18, Mehra as modified teaches each node class comprises a method for returning a string to the engine indicating the node capabilities [col. 13, line 35 col. 14, line 15 and col. 31, lines 5 54 of Underwood].
- 26. As to claim 21, Mehra as modified teaches the engine dynamically creates a node object according to parameters retrieved from the process map [col. 26, line 64 col. 27, line 13 of Underwood], the engine passes data from the received message to the created node object [col. 54, lines 1 18 of Underwood], and data is passed between the node object and the engine by passing a hashtable linking keys with associated data [col. 19, lines 20 41 of Underwood].
- 27. As to claim 23, Mehra teaches the process map is an XML script file [section 3.1.1, p. 31].

CONTACT INFORMATION

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on 571-272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Li B. Zhen Examiner Art Unit 2194

1 5/25/2007

Page 12

LBZ